

FILED

U.S. DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT ARKANSAS

Feb 10, 2020

JAMES W. McCORMACK, CLERK
By: Jake Kornegay D.C.
DEP CLERK

**UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL
on
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION**

**IN RE: ROUNDUP PRODUCTS LIABILITY
LITIGATION**

MDL No. 2741

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)

CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO –185)

On October 3, 2016, the Panel transferred 19 civil action(s) to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. *See* 214 F.Supp.3d 1346 (J.P.M.L. 2016). Since that time, 2,410 additional action(s) have been transferred to the Northern District of California. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Vince Chhabria.

It appears that the action(s) on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the Northern District of California and assigned to Judge Chhabria.

Pursuant to Rule 7.1 of the Rules of Procedure of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, the action(s) on the attached schedule are transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the Northern District of California for the reasons stated in the order of October 3, 2016, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Vince Chhabria.


This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of California. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 7 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 7-day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.

Inasmuch as no objection is
pending at this time, the
stay is lifted.

Feb 10, 2020

CLERK'S OFFICE
UNITED STATES
JUDICIAL PANEL ON
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

FOR THE PANEL:




John W. Nichols
Clerk of the Panel

I hereby certify that the annexed
instrument is a true and correct copy
of the original on file in my office.

ATTEST:
SUSAN Y. SOONG
Clerk, U.S. District Court
Northern District of California

by: 
Date: February 10, 2020
Deputy Clerk



**IN RE: ROUNDUP PRODUCTS LIABILITY
LITIGATION**

MDL No. 2741

SCHEDULE CTO-185 – TAG-ALONG ACTIONS

<u>DIST</u>	<u>DIV.</u>	<u>C.A.NO.</u>	<u>CASE CAPTION</u>
ARKANSAS EASTERN			
ARE	3	20-00027	Atherton v. Monsanto Company et al
ARKANSAS WESTERN			
ARW	6	20-06009	Goodman v. Monsanto Company et al
FLORIDA MIDDLE			
FLM	8	19-03191	Campbell v. Monsanto Company
FLM	8	19-03207	Mulder v. Monsanto Company
FLORIDA SOUTHERN			
FLS	2	20-14009	Creamer v. Monsanto Company
MARYLAND			
MD	1	20-00139	Miller et al v. Monsanto Company
MICHIGAN EASTERN			
MIE	2	19-13601	Sarafian et al v. Monsanto Company
NEBRASKA			
NE	8	20-00044	Kaup et al v. Monsanto Company
NE	8	20-00048	Sachau et al v. Monsanto Company
NEW YORK NORTHERN			
NYN	5	19-01008	Faes v. Monsanto Company et al
NYN	5	19-01011	Connolly v. Monsanto Company et al
NEW YORK WESTERN			

NYW	1	20-00092	Potter v. Monsanto Company et al
NYW	1	20-00093	Leys v. Monsanto Company et al
NYW	1	20-00094	Lakso v. Monsanto Company et al
NYW	1	20-00095	King v. Monsanto Company et al
NYW	1	20-00096	White v. Monsanto Company et al
NYW	6	20-06046	Nesbitt v. Monsanto Company et al

OHIO SOUTHERN

OHS	1	19-01000	Dektas et al v. Monsanto Company
-----	---	----------	----------------------------------

SOUTH CAROLINA

SC	3	20-00324	Duncan et al v. Monsanto Company
----	---	----------	----------------------------------